

Exhibit A

Exhibit A***Objections To The Plan Modification Motion Of Delphi Corporation And The Affiliate Debtors******Organized By Nature Of Objection***

	OBJECTION DOCKET No.	OBJECTION ASSERTED	RESOLUTION, RESPONSE, OR PROPOSAL
Objections To DIP Transfer (Consensual Foreclosure)			
1.	16895	Asserts that the Modified Plan is not confirmable because, as required by § 1129(a)(9), the DIP Lenders will not be paid in full in cash and because the DIP Lenders do not consent to such treatment.	Premature. Collective Action Permits Satisfaction. The Modified Plan contemplates full satisfaction of the DIP Claims through the DIP Transfer described in the Supplement. Pursuant to the DIP Transfer, the claims of the DIP Lenders will be satisfied if the Required Lenders consent to such treatment, which is the sole requirement under the terms of the DIP Credit Agreement.
2.	16897	Objects to the Modified Plan on the basis that it does not provide secured creditors an opportunity to credit bid.	Collective Action Permits Satisfaction. A credit bid is inconsistent with a private sale but if a party provides an unsolicited offer, which includes a credit bid, the Debtors will continue to act in accordance with their fiduciary duties in evaluating any potential transaction.
3.	16895	Objects to the Modified Plan on the basis that it is not confirmable under the standards of 1129(b).	Collective Action Permits Satisfaction. Under section 1129(a)(9), because the DIP Lender claims will be satisfied pursuant to the DIP Transfer, section 1129(b) is inapplicable.
Objections Related To Value Of Recoveries			
4.	16900, 16907	Asserts that the Supplement does not contain adequate information regarding the likely amount of recovery to unsecured creditors or when such recovery would actually be received.	Adequate Information Provided. The Supplement provides ample disclosure regarding potential recoveries for unsecured creditors. The request for additional information is not necessary for a hypothetical reasonable investor to make an informed decision about whether the recoveries provided to general unsecured creditors under the Modified Plan would be better than the recoveries provided under the Debtors' hypothetical liquidation analysis attached to the Supplement as Appendix C.
Pension-Related Objections			
5.	Schedule 1	The Debtors' settlement with the PBGC should not be approved and the Delphi Salaried Pension Plan should not be terminated, but should be assumed by GM.	Adequate Information Provided. The Debtors have provided adequate disclosure regarding the treatment of the Delphi Salaried Pension Plan. While the defined benefit programs were to be assumed under the Confirmed Plan, the salaried and subsidiary plans are no longer capable of assumption because of the deterioration of the capital markets in 2008 and 2009, and accordingly such plans may be involuntarily terminated by the PBGC.

	OBJECTION DOCKET NO.	OBJECTION ASSERTED	RESOLUTION, RESPONSE, OR PROPOSAL
6.	16893, 16900	Asserts that the Supplement does not contain adequate information regarding the disposition of the hourly pension plan or the PBGC Settlement.	Adequate Information Provided. The fact that a settlement with the PBGC has not been achieved but must be achieved to consummate the modifications to the Confirmed Plan is fully disclosed in the Supplement. In addition, the Debtors expect to file the PBGC Settlement Agreement by the Plan Exhibit Filing Deadline.
7.	Schedule 1	The transactions proposed in the Master Disposition Agreement do not equitably treat the employees or former employees covered under the Salaried Pension Plan.	Best Transaction Available. The transactions with Platinum and GM are the best and only viable transactions presented to the Debtors since the Plan Investors failed to close on April 4, 2008. The transactions contemplated by the Modified Plan offer the most likely way to maximize value for the Debtors and all of their stakeholders. The Debtors will continue to act in accordance with their fiduciary duties with respect to any other potential transactions that may arise.
Other Disclosure Related Objections			
8.	16898, 16904	Asserts that the Supplement does not contain adequate information regarding the ongoing Plan Investor litigation.	Adequate Information Provided. The Debtors believe their disclosure is sufficient but will include certain language proposed by the Plan Investors.
9.	16900	Asserts that the Supplement does not contain adequate information regarding (i) Parnassus' potential future contributions and obligations to Delphi's businesses and (ii) the return GM would receive on account of its loan to Parnassus.	Adequate Information Provided. The request for additional information is not necessary for a hypothetical reasonable investor to make an informed decision about whether the alternative proposed in the Modified Plan provides recoveries equal to or better than what such creditors would receive under the Debtors' hypothetical liquidation analysis.
10.	16903	Asserts that the Supplement does not contain adequate information regarding the Debtors' valuation of the consideration the Collective would receive under the Modified Plan.	Adequate Information Provided. The Supplement is not required to contain such information under section 1127, which incorporates section 1125 of the Bankruptcy Code, because the DIP Lenders are not voting on the Modified Plan. In the alternative, such information may be made available to the DIP Lenders under the terms of the Protective Order (Docket No. 16647).
11.	16900	Asserts that the Supplement does not contain adequate information regarding the process used to select Platinum as the purchaser, including whether the Debtors and Treasury refused to engage in discussions with other potential purchasers.	Adequate Information Provided. The request for additional information is not necessary for a hypothetical reasonable investor to make an informed decision about whether the alternative proposed in the Modified Plan provides recoveries equal to or better than what such creditors would receive under the Debtors' hypothetical liquidation analysis.
12.	16907	Asserts that the Supplement does not contain adequate information regarding whether the Debtors will pay Wilmington Trust's fees and expenses.	Supplement To Be Modified. Absent a settlement, the distribution to Senior Noteholders will be subject to the Indenture Trustee's liens. The Debtors will provide disclosure of this possibility in the Supplement.

	OBJECTION DOCKET NO.	OBJECTION ASSERTED	RESOLUTION, RESPONSE, OR PROPOSAL
13.	16896	Asserts that the Supplement does not contain adequate information regarding payment of postpetition amounts owed under prepetition contracts that are not yet due and payable on June 1, 2009, or at the time of assumption and assignment to GM or Parmassus.	Objection Lacks Merit. Contrary to Autocam's assertion, parties are not required to file administrative expense claims for "[a]ny claim for postpetition goods and services delivered to the Debtors prior to June 1, 2009 that are not yet due and payable pursuant to the applicable contract terms." In addition, the procedures for assuming and assigning certain contracts to the applicable buyer are set forth in the Modification Procedures Order and Modified Plan and will provide counterparties with an opportunity to object to the assumption and assignment, but not to the previously established cure amount. Finally, the Debtors are paying their postpetition obligations in the ordinary course of business and therefore there is no need for procedures to assert postpetition cure amounts. Counterparties could also file administrative expense claims under the Modification Procedures Order and Modified Plan.
Objections To Administrative Claims Bar Date			
14.	16903	Asserts that the Supplement does not contain adequate information regarding proposed procedures for the administrative expense claim bar date insofar as they exempt Intercompany Claims.	Objection Lacks Merit. The Debtors do not need to file claims against themselves to be able to track intercompany claims.
Other Modified Plan Related Objections			
15.	Schedule 1	The Debtors should continue to make severance payments.	Premature. At the Final Modification Approval Hearing, the Debtors will demonstrate that they will satisfy all administrative claims under the applicable standards.
16.	16897	Asserts that the Debtors should not be permitted to assume and assign contracts until consummation of the Master Disposition Agreement.	Resolved. The Debtors have revised the proposed Modification Procedures Order accordingly.
17.	16900	Asserts that the Debtors are not pursuing their legally available remedies against GM.	Adequate Information Provided. The Supplement adequately discloses the approval of the Amended GSA and Amended MRA. This objection is not relevant to the relief sought in the Plan Modification Approval Motion.

	OBJECTION DOCKET No.	OBJECTION ASSERTED	RESOLUTION, RESPONSE, OR PROPOSAL
		Resolicitation Objections	
18.	16900, 16907	Object to maintaining the November 26, 2007 record date for resolicitation.	<p>Section 1127 Is Clear. Section 1127(d) of the Bankruptcy Code provides that "[a]ny holder of a claim or interest that has accepted or rejected a plan is deemed to have accepted or rejected, as the case may be, such plan as modified, unless, within the time fixed by the Court, such holder changes such holder's previous acceptance or rejection." Thus, if a holder of a claim or interest does not vote to accept or reject the Modified Plan by the Voting Deadline, that holder's prior vote would count as it was originally cast, but only to the extent that such holder is entitled to vote on the Modified Plan. Furthermore, the legislative history of section 1127(d) notes that the purpose of section 1127(d) is to "simplify" [the] modification procedure by deeming any creditor or equity security holder that has already accepted or rejected the plan to have accepted or rejected the modification." H.R. Rep. No. 95-595, at 411 (1977), <u>reprinted in</u> 1978 U.S.C.A.N. 5963, 6367.</p> <p>The plain language of section 1127 and 1127(d) applies on its face to these circumstances and clearly contemplates material modifications to a plan, such as the Modified Plan. The objectors provide no statutory or reasoned case law for not applying section 1127(d) as drafted. The statute is clear and should be applied.</p>

	OBJECTION DOCKET NO.	OBJECTION ASSERTED	RESOLUTION, RESPONSE, OR PROPOSAL
19.	16900	Object to the Debtors' request that any class in which no creditor votes on the Modified Plan be deemed an accepting class.	Premature. This objection is premature because it remains to be seen whether any class will fail to return its ballots. Several courts have held, however, that if no claim or interest holder of a particular class votes either to accept or reject the plan, then that class would be deemed to have accepted the plan. See <u>Heins v. Ruti-Sweetwater, Inc.</u> (In re Ruti-Sweetwater, Inc.), 836 F.2d 1263, 1266-67 (10th Cir. 1988) (holding that nonvoting class was deemed to have accepted plan for purposes of 11 U.S.C. §§ 1129(a)(8) and 1129(b) and noting that holding otherwise would encourage creditors to "sit idly by," effectively "plac[ing] all reorganization plans at risk in terms of reliance and finality"); <u>In re Adelphia Comm'n's Corp.</u> , 368 B.R. 140, 261-62 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 2007) ("Regarding non-voters as rejecters runs contrary to the Code's fundamental principle, and the language of section 1126(c), that only those actually voting be counted in determining whether a class has met the requirements . . . for acceptance or rejection of a plan . . ."); <u>In re Campbell</u> , 89 B.R. 187, 188 (Bankr. N.D. Fla. 1988) ("[T]hose impaired classes which failed to vote and did not object to confirmation of the plan are deemed to have accepted the plan for the purposes of meeting the requirements of § 1129(a)(8) of the Bankruptcy Code."); but see <u>In re Friese</u> , 103 B.R. 90, 92-93 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 1989) (holding that "the court cannot deem an impaired class to have accepted a plan if no creditors in the class have voted.").
20.	16900	Asserts that the Debtors do not propose to resolicit the votes of general unsecured creditors and requests that the Court order a full resolicitation.	Incorrect. The Debtors will resolicit the votes of all general unsecured creditors but will apply section 1127(d) when counting the votes of such creditors.
21.	16900	The Creditors' Committee states that the Supplement should contain language prepared by the Creditors' Committee indicating its opposition to the Modified Plan.	The Debtors do not oppose the inclusion of a letter from the Creditors' Committee in the resolicitation materials explaining why creditors should not accept the distributions under the Modified Plan in light of the Hypothetical Liquidation Analysis attached as Appendix C to the Supplement which demonstrates that in a liquidation, such creditors would receive no recovery.

	OBJECTION DOCKET NO.	OBJECTION ASSERTED	RESOLUTION, RESPONSE, OR PROPOSAL
		Objection To Section 363 Sale Alternative	
22.	16895, 16897, 16903	Asserts that the Court should adopt auction and bidding procedures for a sale pursuant to section 363 of the Bankruptcy Code.	<p>Private Sale Permissible. The transactions with Platinum and GM constitute a private sale under section 363 and are the best and only viable transactions presented to the Debtors since the Plan Investors failed to close on April 4, 2008. Private sales are permissible in this and other districts. See S.D.N.Y. Gen. Order 331; see, e.g., order approving private sale in <u>In re Hoop Holdings LLC</u>, No. 08-10544 (Docket No. 319) (Bankr. D. Del. Apr. 23, 2008).</p> <p>As described in the Debtors' reply, the Debtors will receive \$250 million of interim financing from GM subject to meeting certain conditions, including receiving approval of the Modification Procedures. No other available transaction will provide the Debtors with this required financing.</p> <p>Moreover, this financing in connection with this transaction offers the most likely means to maximize value for the Debtors and all of their stakeholders. The Debtors will continue to act in accordance with their fiduciary duties with respect to any other potential transactions that may arise.</p> <p>Premature. This objection is premature because Required Lender consent is not required until closing. In addition, this objection lacks merit because although the Debtors need Required Lender consent to consummate the Modified Plan, the Debtors believe that they can proceed with the Sale Transactions pursuant to section 363 without DIP Lender consent.</p> <p>Premature. This objection is premature because the Debtors have proposed the transaction as part of the Modified Plan as described in the Supplement.</p>
23.	16895, 16903	Asserts that the Master Disposition Agreement cannot be consummated because DIP Lenders will not consent to sale.	
24.	16903	Asserts that a private 363 sale is an improper sub <u>rosa</u> plan.	

	OBJECTION DOCKET NO.	OBJECTION ASSERTED	RESOLUTION, RESPONSE, OR PROPOSAL
		Objections Filed In October 2008 In Respect Of The Original Plan Modification Motion	
25.	14340	<p>The proposed Cure Claim Procedures for Other Executory Contracts and Other Unexpired Leases that the Debtors are assuming under the Modified Plan improperly require the claimant to have filed a cure claim by March 10, 2008 in order to receive a cure claim.</p> <p>Liquidity Solutions, Inc. asserts that the Debtors should be required to provide a list of Other Executory Contracts and Other Unexpired Leases that the Debtors are assuming that relate to LSI.</p>	<p>Objection Lacks Merit. LSI's objection has no merit because (i) res judicata precludes LSI from attacking procedures approved by this Court in the Confirmation Order and (ii) LSI has no authority to interfere with contracts between the Debtors and their contractual counterparties. Under the Confirmed Plan, Article 8.1(a) established the executory contracts and unexpired leases to be assumed by the Debtors and Article 8.2(b) set forth the procedures for determining cure amounts for Other Executory Contracts and Other Unexpired Leases. The Modified Plan does not add new executory contracts and unexpired leases to be assumed under Article 8.1(a), nor does it modify the procedures for determining cure amounts on Other Executory Contracts or Other Unexpired Leases.</p> <p>Any party seeking to assert a cure amount under Article 8.2(b) for an Other Executory Contract or Other Unexpired Lease was required to file a Cure Proposal by March 10, 2008. LSI filed its Cure Proposal, identified at Docket No. 13065, on behalf of more than 200 parties from which LSI has purchased claims. Through its Cure Proposal and its objection to the Motion, LSI seeks to interject itself between the Debtors and their contract counterparties by requiring the Debtors to produce a list of all Other Executory Contracts and Other Unexpired Leases that relate to claims purchased by LSI. The relief requested by LSI, however, runs contrary to this Court's prior rulings and guidance regarding assumption and cure.</p> <p>Premature. The Supplement provides the treatment provided for under the Modified Plan and claimholders will have the opportunity to vote to accept or reject the treatment that the Modified Plan provides.</p>
26.	14338, 14339	Individuals creditors object to the treatment proposed under the modifications to the Plan as proposed on October 3, 2008, requesting different treatment than that which was provided for in the modifications.	

<u>DOCKET #</u>	<u>OBJECTING PARTY</u>
14338	Robert Ward
14339	Sheryl Carter
14340	Liquidity Solutions, Inc.
16893	Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation
16895	Kensington International Limited, Manchester Securities Corp, and Springfield Associates LLC
16897	JP Morgan Chase Bank, NA
16898	Appaloosa Management LP, A-D Acquisition Holdings, LLC, Harbinger Del-Auto Investment Company Ltd., Harbinger Capital Partners Master Fund I, Ltd., Merrill, Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith, Incorporated, Pardus Special Opportunities Master Fund L.P., and Pardus DPH Holdings
16896	Autocam Corporation
16900	Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors
16903	Collective of DIP Lenders
16904	UBS Securities LLC
16907	Wilmington Trust Company
Schedule 1	Individual Letter Objections as listed on <u>Schedule 1</u> , attached hereto

Schedule 1

	OBJECTION	DOCKET NO.	OBJECTION	DOCKET NO.
1.	Letter Objection filed by Timothy K. Sheffer	Docket No. 6660	40. Letter Objection filed by B.T. Londeck	Docket No. 6660
2.	Letter Objection filed by Oscar O L[illegible]	Docket No. 6660	41. Letter Objection filed by Thomas S. Ellis	Docket No. 6660
3.	Letter Objection filed by David M. Jourdan	Docket No. 6660	42. Letter Objection filed by David C. Valencia	Docket No. 6661
4.	Letter Objection filed by Joanne Burns	Docket No. 6660	43. Letter Objection filed by Joseph Omayala	Docket No. 6661
5.	Letter Objection filed by Bernard M. Carreno	Docket No. 6660	44. Letter Objection filed by Kathy J. Bourassa	Docket No. 6661
6.	Letter Objection filed by Thomas J. Bucholz	Docket No. 6660	45. Letter Objection filed by Dennis R. Bourassa	Docket No. 6661
7.	Letter Objection filed by Martin D. Sh[illegible]	Docket No. 6660	46. Letter Objection filed by Dan Gorkiewicz	Docket No. 6661
8.	Letter Objection filed by Jim Johnson	Docket No. 6660	47. Letter Objection filed by Jeff J. Brenske	Docket No. 6661
9.	Letter Objection filed by Jay Machielse	Docket No. 6660	48. Letter Objection filed by Charles R. Brown	Docket No. 6661
10.	Letter Objection filed by Thomas L. Arnold	Docket No. 6660	49. Letter Objection filed by Bruce Korkham	Docket No. 6661
11.	Letter Objection filed by Jim McClure	Docket No. 6660	50. Letter Objection filed by Roger J. Morschhauser	Docket No. 6661
12.	Letter Objection filed by James W. Krisko	Docket No. 6660	51. Letter Objection filed by Fred G. Metzger	Docket No. 6661
13.	Letter Objection filed by Lloyd Smith	Docket No. 6660	52. Letter Objection filed by Bruce A. Naylor	Docket No. 6661
14.	Letter Objection filed by Gerald F. Mullis	Docket No. 6660	53. Letter Objection filed by George R. Koehm	Docket No. 6661
15.	Letter Objection filed by Glenn F. Muinick	Docket No. 6660	54. Letter Objection filed by Deborah Karyakose	Docket No. 6661
16.	Letter Objection filed by Ismat A. Abu-Isa	Docket No. 6660	55. Letter Objection filed by Roger E. Hoke	Docket No. 6661
17.	Letter Objection filed by Jon L. Herron	Docket No. 6660	56. Letter Objection filed by Charles D. Dittlinger	Docket No. 6661
18.	Letter Objection filed by James A. Boyer	Docket No. 6660	57. Letter Objection filed by Sherry L. Friedman	Docket No. 6661
19.	Letter Objection filed by Ronald Steward	Docket No. 6660	58. Letter Objection filed by Steven A. McMahan	Docket No. 6661
20.	Letter Objection filed by James L. Crouse	Docket No. 6660	59. Letter Objection filed by Bogdan Dawidowicz	Docket No. 6661
21.	Letter Objection filed by Mary Landries	Docket No. 6660	60. Letter Objection filed by Paul Blanchard	Docket No. 6661
22.	Letter Objection filed by Robert Mowell	Docket No. 6660	61. Letter Objection filed by Jon and Sue Williams	Docket No. 6661
23.	Letter Objection filed by David W. Muffley	Docket No. 6660	62. Letter Objection filed by Richard Loutzenhiser	Docket No. 6661
24.	Letter Objection filed by Robert L. Hogeman	Docket No. 6660	63. Letter Objection filed by Robert J. Koval	Docket No. 6661
25.	Letter Objection filed by Dale Erdman	Docket No. 6660	64. Letter Objection filed by Gary F. Giglio	Docket No. 6661
26.	Letter Objection filed by Marybeth and Chuck Cunningham	Docket No. 6660	65. Letter Objection filed by Stephen J. Kiselewich	Docket No. 6661
27.	Letter Objection filed by Mary Ann Hudzik	Docket No. 6660	66. Letter Objection filed by Ronald Collins	Docket No. 6661
28.	Letter Objection filed by Susan Muffley	Docket No. 6660	67. Letter Objection filed by Charles A. Mays	Docket No. 6661
29.	Letter Objection filed by Carol A. Essad	Docket No. 6660	68. Letter Objection filed by William J. Coates Jr.	Docket No. 6661
30.	Letter Objection filed by Paul Mura	Docket No. 6660	69. Letter Objection filed by John T. Sliker	Docket No. 6661
31.	Letter Objection filed by Mark W. Pierce	Docket No. 6660	70. Letter Objection filed by Michael S. Wyzgoski	Docket No. 6661
32.	Letter Objection filed by William L. Gross	Docket No. 6660	71. Letter Objection filed by Dennis D. Epperson	Docket No. 6661
33.	Letter Objection filed by Jack Edling	Docket No. 6660	72. Letter Objection filed by Richard Kowalski	Docket No. 6661
34.	Letter Objection filed by John Hames	Docket No. 6660	73. Letter Objection filed by Michael J. Maksymicz	Docket No. 6661
35.	Letter Objection filed by Ronald Devola	Docket No. 6660	74. Letter Objection filed by Thomas B. Denes	Docket No. 6661
36.	Letter Objection filed by Howard M. Young	Docket No. 6660	75. Letter Objection filed by Manu Anand	Docket No. 6662
37.	Letter Objection filed by Donald R. Brown	Docket No. 6660	76. Letter Objection filed by Jagir S. Sooch	Docket No. 6662
38.	Letter Objection filed by Dennis R. Bourassa	Docket No. 6660	77. Letter Objection filed by Paul Dobosz	Docket No. 6662
39.	Letter Objection filed by Harry E. McCrea, Jr.	Docket No. 6660	78. Letter Objection filed by Michael D. Williams	Docket No. 6662

OBJECTION	DOCKET NO.
Letter Objection filed by Dean Woodard	Docket No. 16679
Letter Objection filed by James F. Anderson	Docket No. 16680
Letter Objection filed by Dennis W. Paul	Docket No. 16681
Letter Objection filed by Lois and Phillip Gose	Docket No. 16682
Letter Objection filed by Connie Kistler	Docket No. 16683
Letter Objection filed by Kenneth L. Zurek	Docket No. 16684
Letter Objection filed by Douglas Hamilton	Docket No. 16685
Letter Objection filed by Walter P. Guenther	Docket No. 16686
Letter Objection filed by Diane E. Weaver	Docket No. 16687
Letter Objection filed by Gary Gray	Docket No. 16688
Letter Objection filed by Melissa Mowell	Docket No. 16689
Letter Objection filed by Paul C. Sagnuolo	Docket No. 16690
Letter Objection filed by Nina Mowell	Docket No. 16691
Letter Objection filed by Diana B. Smith	Docket No. 16692
Letter Objection filed by John Clifford	Docket No. 16693
Letter Objection filed by Samuel R. Janis	Docket No. 16694
Letter Objection filed by James A. Baker	Docket No. 16695
Letter Objection filed by Joseph Andrasik	Docket No. 16696
Letter Objection filed by Terry N. Fisher	Docket No. 16697
Letter Objection filed by James J. Kessler	Docket No. 16698
Letter Objection filed by John S. Kessler	Docket No. 16699
Letter Objection filed by David J. Alexander	Docket No. 16700
Letter Objection filed by Terry L. Slifer	Docket No. 16701
Letter Objection filed by Randall J. Snoeyink	Docket No. 16702
Letter Objection filed by Douglas L. Cole	Docket No. 16703
Letter Objection filed by Julianne Kronoshek	Docket No. 16704
Letter Objection filed by Jerry Wilson	Docket No. 16705
Letter Objection filed by Brenda Kolenda	Docket No. 16706
Letter Objection filed by Richard M. Orcutt	Docket No. 16707
Letter Objection filed by Richard A. Devers	Docket No. 16708
Letter Objection filed by Michael and Faith Mainhart	Docket No. 16709
Letter Objection filed by Lee Fiorvento	Docket No. 16710
Letter Objection filed by Neil E. Haseley	Docket No. 16711
Letter Objection filed by Robert Childs	Docket No. 16712
Letter Objection filed by David J. Trapasso	Docket No. 16713
Letter Objection filed by James A. Dean	Docket No. 16714
Letter Objection filed by Michael Rasper	Docket No. 16715
Letter Objection filed by Bob Hoffman	Docket No. 16716
Letter Objection filed by Rebecca McHale	Docket No. 16717
Letter Objection filed by William B. Stachura	Docket No. 16718
Letter Objection filed by Rosemary Brewer	Docket No. 16719

OBJECTION	DOCKET NO.
Letter Objection filed by Joseph J. Roznowski	Docket No. 16662
Letter Objection filed by Thomas H. Walters	Docket No. 16662
Letter Objection filed by Joseph J. C[illegible]	Docket No. 16662
Letter Objection filed by Sherri L. Smith	Docket No. 16662
Letter Objection filed by Cassandra S. Rayfield	Docket No. 16662
Letter Objection filed by Stephen L. Spieth	Docket No. 16662
Letter Objection filed by Kurt Harold Sworn	Docket No. 16662
Letter Objection filed by Bill and Sharon Loughhead	Docket No. 16662
Letter Objection filed by Scott M. Leach	Docket No. 16662
Letter Objection filed by Michael D. Courtney	Docket No. 16662
Letter Objection filed by Robert F. Konkle, Jr.	Docket No. 16662
Letter Objection filed by Jacob Pikaart	Docket No. 16662
Letter Objection filed by Deborah S. Hampton	Docket No. 16662
Letter Objection filed by John Hoying	Docket No. 16662
Letter Objection filed by Deborah Llewellyn	Docket No. 16662
Letter Objection filed by Joseph A. Cianciosa	Docket No. 16662
Letter Objection filed by Kenneth K. Cantrell	Docket No. 16662
Letter Objection filed by John R. Brantingham	Docket No. 16662
Letter Objection filed by Robert J. Conklin	Docket No. 16662
Letter Objection filed by Don Wheelock	Docket No. 16662
Letter Objection filed by Joseph A. Musick	Docket No. 16662
Letter Objection filed by Thomas J. Sosnowchik	Docket No. 16662
Letter Objection filed by Paul V. Palovich	Docket No. 16662
Letter Objection filed by Drew Verbonsky	Docket No. 16662
Letter Objection filed by Marion R. Parks	Docket No. 16662
Letter Objection filed by David Starr	Docket No. 16662
Letter Objection filed by Patricia Bryant	Docket No. 16662
Letter Objection filed by Gary F. Giglio	Docket No. 16665
Letter Objection filed by Gerald E. Spencer	Docket No. 16666
Letter Objection filed by Cindy Ireland	Docket No. 16667
Letter Objection filed by John R. Smith	Docket No. 16668
Letter Objection filed by Lisa Booth	Docket No. 16669
Letter Objection filed by Thomas C. Dean	Docket No. 16670
Letter Objection filed by M. Paul Higgins	Docket No. 16671
Letter Objection filed by Markus Hamilton	Docket No. 16672
Letter Objection filed by Michael F. Reil	Docket No. 16673
Letter Objection filed by Mike Hillard	Docket No. 16674
Letter Objection filed by David F. Boull	Docket No. 16675
Letter Objection filed by James P. Grabowski	Docket No. 16676
Letter Objection filed by Anthony V. Cornacchione	Docket No. 16677
Letter Objection filed by Dan Sullivan	Docket No. 16678

OBJECTION	DOCKET NO.
202. Letter Objection filed by Christine Barnes	Docket No. 16761
203. Letter Objection filed by Ken Kovacevich	Docket No. 16762
204. Letter Objection filed by Glenn Elliott	Docket No. 16763
205. Letter Objection filed by Eric N. Hagberg	Docket No. 16764
206. Letter Objection filed by Paula S. Loucks	Docket No. 16765
207. Letter Objection filed by Bruce Clary	Docket No. 16766
208. Letter Objection filed by Roy W. Smith	Docket No. 16767
209. Letter Objection filed by Glenn C. Tripp	Docket No. 16768
210. Letter Objection filed by Joyce Higginbottom	Docket No. 16769
211. Letter Objection filed by Dave and Elaine Hofius	Docket No. 16770
212. Letter Objection filed by Cathy Tyler	Docket No. 16771
213. Letter Objection filed by Burton D. Tyler	Docket No. 16772
214. Letter Objection filed by Robert Fedorka	Docket No. 16774
215. Letter Objection filed by Peter Ng	Docket No. 16775
216. Letter Objection filed by Ernest A. Knobelspiesse	Docket No. 16776
217. Letter Objection filed by Thomas W. Thornburg	Docket No. 16777
218. Letter Objection filed by Michael A. Porch	Docket No. 16778
219. Letter Objection filed by Dennis E. Beck	Docket No. 16779
220. Letter Objection filed by Charles E. Childs	Docket No. 16780
221. Letter Objection filed by Steve Showalter	Docket No. 16781
222. Letter Objection filed by Nan Gookin	Docket No. 16782
223. Letter Objection filed by Gary D. Murphy	Docket No. 16783
224. Letter Objection filed by Terrance A. Buchaiski	Docket No. 16784
225. Letter Objection filed by Timothy Mullet	Docket No. 16785
226. Letter Objection filed by Carlton H. Fox	Docket No. 16786
227. Letter Objection filed by Richard C. Boyd	Docket No. 16787
228. Letter Objection filed by Jeanine S. Chart	Docket No. 16788
229. Letter Objection filed by Michael Williamson	Docket No. 16789
230. Letter Objection filed by Richard W. Buschmann Jr.	Docket No. 16790
231. Letter Objection filed by Dom Raia	Docket No. 16791
232. Letter Objection filed by Michael Stewart	Docket No. 16792
233. Letter Objection filed by Gary Sivers and James Fennell	Docket No. 16793
234. Letter Objection filed by Jane E. Hagberg	Docket No. 16794
235. Letter Objection filed by LaTanya M. Jeffreys	Docket No. 16795
236. Letter Objection filed by Jerry Wilson	Docket No. 16796
237. Letter Objection filed by Mark A. Franklin	Docket No. 16797
238. Letter Objection filed by Paul A. Balciar	Docket No. 16798
239. Letter Objection filed by Diane Balciar	Docket No. 16799
240. Letter Objection filed by John Adams	Docket No. 16800
241. Letter Objection filed by Jane E. Hagberg	Docket No. 16801
242. Letter Objection filed by Robert L. Spencer	Docket No. 16802

OBJECTION	DOCKET NO.
161. Letter Objection filed by Gerald A. Gaeth	Docket No. 16720
162. Letter Objection filed by Donna Yokobosky	Docket No. 16721
163. Letter Objection filed by Marc A. Eglin	Docket No. 16722
164. Letter Objection filed by Jerry L. Alexander	Docket No. 16723
165. Letter Objection filed by Raymond D. Collins	Docket No. 16724
166. Letter Objection filed by Robert Ciesluk	Docket No. 16725
167. Letter Objection filed by Jacqueline Podboy	Docket No. 16726
168. Letter Objection filed by Paravila Jacob	Docket No. 16727
169. Letter Objection filed by Danny M. Martin	Docket No. 16728
170. Letter Objection filed by Jerry Vit	Docket No. 16729
171. Letter Objection filed by Patricia Grau	Docket No. 16730
172. Letter Objection filed by William Stewart	Docket No. 16731
173. Letter Objection filed by Gerald D. Allen	Docket No. 16732
174. Letter Objection filed by William J. Conwell	Docket No. 16733
175. Letter Objection filed by Stanley J. Markus	Docket No. 16734
176. Letter Objection filed by David L. Brownfield	Docket No. 16735
177. Letter Objection filed by Jerry and Barbara Meier	Docket No. 16736
178. Letter Objection filed by Patrick O'Laughlin	Docket No. 16737
179. Letter Objection filed by Douglas F. Brechelsbauer	Docket No. 16738
180. Letter Objection filed by Frank Tuckerman	Docket No. 16739
181. Letter Objection filed by Alice Gollner	Docket No. 16740
182. Letter Objection filed by Bruce E. Eggert	Docket No. 16741
183. Letter Objection filed by Coleen M. LeBeau	Docket No. 16742
184. Letter Objection filed by James R. Anderson	Docket No. 16743
185. Letter Objection filed by Alan Kasten	Docket No. 16744
186. Letter Objection filed by Stephen L. Downs	Docket No. 16745
187. Letter Objection filed by William H. Brinkman	Docket No. 16746
188. Letter Objection filed by Bruno A. Plutino	Docket No. 16747
189. Letter Objection filed by Thomas J. Green	Docket No. 16748
190. Letter Objection filed by James R. Moore	Docket No. 16749
191. Letter Objection filed by Karen Conner	Docket No. 16750
192. Letter Objection filed by Frank C. Setlik	Docket No. 16751
193. Letter Objection filed by Robert Dickens	Docket No. 16752
194. Letter Objection filed by Herbert S. Daugherty	Docket No. 16753
195. Letter Objection filed by Daime M. Smith	Docket No. 16754
196. Letter Objection filed by Edgar Eshleman	Docket No. 16755
197. Letter Objection filed by Joseph Matsko	Docket No. 16756
198. Letter Objection filed by William and Krenann Berner	Docket No. 16757
199. Letter Objection filed by William H. Johnson	Docket No. 16758
200. Letter Objection filed by Joseph Fornuto	Docket No. 16759
201. Letter Objection filed by H. Charles Miller	Docket No. 16760

OBJECTION	DOCKET NO.
284. Letter Objection filed by Wade	Docket No. 16844
285. Letter Objection filed by Richard Peters	Docket No. 16845
286. Letter Objection filed by Charles Archibald	Docket No. 16845
287. Letter Objection filed by Christa K Snider	Docket No. 16846
288. Letter Objection filed by Gary G Zapoli	Docket No. 16847
289. Letter Objection filed by Christa K Snider	Docket No. 16848
290. Letter Objection filed by Fredrick K Shultz	Docket No. 16849
291. Letter Objection filed by Michael Paul	Docket No. 16850
292. Letter Objection filed by Gregg Lucas	Docket No. 16851
293. Letter Objection filed by Lynne Hagerman	Docket No. 16852
294. Letter Objection filed by Gary G Zapoli	Docket No. 16853
295. Letter Objection filed by Jane Hagberg	Docket No. 16854
296. Letter Objection filed by William Denzer	Docket No. 16855
297. Letter Objection filed by Diana B. Smith	Docket No. 16857
298. Letter Objection filed by John Phipps	Docket No. 16858
299. Letter Objection filed by Kirk Feather	Docket No. 16859
300. Letter Objection filed by Mike Meyer	Docket No. 16860
301. Letter Objection filed by John Pawlek	Docket No. 16861
302. Letter Objection filed by Tony Gardner	Docket No. 16862
303. Letter Objection filed by Frances J. Kramer	Docket No. 16863
304. Letter Objection filed by Ross Bellaire, Jr.	Docket No. 16864
305. Letter Objection filed by Jeff Kimmartin	Docket No. 16865
306. Letter Objection filed by John and Gail Robinson	Docket No. 16866
307. Letter Objection filed by Thomas Trill	Docket No. 16867
308. Letter Objection filed by Richard J. Napoli	Docket No. 16868
309. Letter Objection filed by David Muffley	Docket No. 16869
310. Letter Objection filed by Dennis D. Epperson	Docket No. 16870
311. Letter Objection filed by Sharon O'Brien	Docket No. 16871
312. Letter Objection filed by Don Griffin	Docket No. 16872
313. Letter Objection filed by Gregory Kulka	Docket No. 16873
314. Letter Objection filed by Leslie Wyfong	Docket No. 16874
315. Letter Objection filed by Douglas J. Foster	Docket No. 16875
316. Letter Objection filed by Martin Porch	Docket No. 16876
317. Letter Objection filed by James Bell	Docket No. 16877
318. Letter Objection filed by Alicia Vertiz	Docket No. 16878
319. Letter Objection filed by Linda L. Hill	Docket No. 16879
320. Letter Objection filed by David Kalb	Docket No. 16880
321. Letter Objection filed by Phil Crum	Docket No. 16881
322. Letter Objection filed by Neal Rath	Docket No. 16882
323. Letter Objection filed by Reid B. (Bick) Lesser	Docket No. 16883
324. Letter Objection filed by George R. Kohnut	Docket No. 16884

OBJECTION	DOCKET NO.
243. Letter Objection filed by Michael J. O'Toole	Docket No. 16803
244. Letter Objection filed by Paul Wilczynski	Docket No. 16804
245. Letter Objection filed by J. Allen Babb (Letter to Senator Griffith)	Docket No. 16805
246. Letter Objection filed by Duane Williams	Docket No. 16806
247. Letter Objection filed by Laura E. Miller	Docket No. 16807
248. Letter Objection filed by Lisa Weber	Docket No. 16808
249. Letter Objection filed by William Byers	Docket No. 16809
250. Letter Objection filed by Janice Stefanski	Docket No. 16810
251. Letter Objection filed by Jeanne Minnick	Docket No. 16811
252. Letter Objection filed by Robert Saviers	Docket No. 16812
253. Letter Objection filed by Dave Parks	Docket No. 16813
254. Letter Objection filed by Edward Farris	Docket No. 16814
255. Letter Objection filed by Linda T. Jones	Docket No. 16815
256. Letter Objection filed by G.J. L'Esperance	Docket No. 16816
257. Letter Objection filed by Todd Kelchlin	Docket No. 16817
258. Letter Objection filed by Robert C. Gmerek	Docket No. 16818
259. Letter Objection filed by Mark Baranski	Docket No. 16819
260. Letter Objection filed by Ronald L. Malone	Docket No. 16820
261. Letter Objection filed by Mark J. Kesselring	Docket No. 16821
262. Letter Objection filed by Kelley A. Hacker	Docket No. 16822
263. Letter Objection filed by Lisa A. Allan	Docket No. 16823
264. Letter Objection filed by Maria C. Del Rio	Docket No. 16824
265. Letter Objection filed by Nancy E. Kozak	Docket No. 16825
266. Letter Objection filed by Warren Kling	Docket No. 16826
267. Letter Objection filed by Christal M. Scriver-Wilk	Docket No. 16827
268. Letter Objection filed by Irma R. Zamora	Docket No. 16828
269. Letter Objection filed by Christal M. Scriver-Wilk	Docket No. 16829
270. Letter Objection filed by Longhu Li	Docket No. 16830
271. Letter Objection filed by Patrick J. Drury	Docket No. 16831
272. Letter Objection filed by Donald Rennaker	Docket No. 16832
273. Letter Objection filed by David Garrett	Docket No. 16833
274. Letter Objection filed by Jerry Wilson	Docket No. 16834
275. Letter Objection filed by John Adams	Docket No. 16835
276. Letter Objection filed by Joe Liguori	Docket No. 16836
277. Letter Objection filed by Robert G. Merkior	Docket No. 16837
278. Letter Objection filed by Joseph Wildberg	Docket No. 16838
279. Letter Objection filed by Dave Norton	Docket No. 16839
280. Letter Objection filed by Geoffrey C. Lohrman	Docket No. 16840
281. Letter Objection filed by A.F. Ruhala	Docket No. 16841
282. Letter Objection filed by Wade	Docket No. 16842
283. Letter Objection filed by A.F. Ruhala	Docket No. 16843

OBJECTION	DOCKET NO.
Letter Objection filed by [Illegible]	Docket No. 16889
Letter Objection filed by [Illegible]	Docket No. 16889
Letter Objection filed by Patricia A. Rosa	Docket No. 16890
Letter Objection filed by John C. Norris	Docket No. 16891
Letter Objection filed by Leslie Hoyng	Docket No. 16892
Letter Objection filed by Thomas Reese	Docket No. 16894
Letter Objection filed by Kiran R. Pate;	Docket No. 16899
Letter Objection filed by Charles Adams	Docket No. 16901
Letter Objection filed by Joyce A. Hoffman	Docket No. 16908
Letter Objection filed by Michael Kaza	Docket No. 16909
Letter Objection filed by Day Van Sprange	Docket No. 16910
Letter Objection filed by Kenneth Hollis	Docket No. 16911
Letter Objection filed by David W. Patterson	Docket No. 16912
Letter Objection filed by Linda Johnson	Docket No. 16913
Letter Objection filed by Ronald Burns	Docket No. 16914
Letter Objection filed by Douglas Wintersteen	Docket No. 16915
Letter Objection filed by Kurt Schramm	Docket No. 16916
Letter Objection filed by Edward Sullivan	Docket No. 16917
Letter Objection filed by Ellen Walker	Docket No. 16918
Letter Objection filed by Debra Lackey	Docket No. 16919
Letter Objection filed by [Illegible]	Docket No. 16922
Letter Objection filed by [Illegible]	Docket No. 16923
Letter Objection filed by [Illegible]	Docket No. 16924
Letter Objection filed by [Illegible]	Docket No. 16925
Letter Objection filed by [Illegible]	Docket No. 16926
Letter Objection filed by [Illegible]	Docket No. 16927
Letter Objection filed by [Illegible]	Docket No. 16928
Letter Objection filed by [Illegible]	Docket No. 16929
Letter Objection filed by Karl P. Kroets	Docket No. 16931
Letter Objection filed by Kim M. Ryan	Docket No. 16932
Letter Objection filed by George J. Ryan	Docket No. 16933

OBJECTION	DOCKET NO.
Letter Objection filed by Donald F. McEvoy	Docket No. 16885
Letter Objection filed by David g. Bodkin	Docket No. 16886
Letter Objection filed by Jack and Lynda Wolcott	Docket No. 16887
Letter Objection filed by Daniel R. Coltoniak	Docket No. 16888
Letter Objection filed by Various creditors (illegible)	Docket No. 16889
Letter Objection filed by Patricia A. Rosa	Docket No. 16889
Letter Objection filed by John C. Norris	Docket No. 16889
Letter Objection filed by Leslie Hoyng	Docket No. 16889
Letter Objection filed by Thomas Reese	Docket No. 16889
Letter Objection filed by Kiran R. Pate;	Docket No. 16889
Letter Objection filed by Charles Adams	Docket No. 16889
Letter Objection filed by [Illegible]	Docket No. 16889
Letter Objection filed by [Illegible]	Docket No. 16889
Letter Objection filed by [Illegible]	Docket No. 16889
Letter Objection filed by [Illegible]	Docket No. 16889
Letter Objection filed by [Illegible]	Docket No. 16889
Letter Objection filed by [Illegible]	Docket No. 16889
Letter Objection filed by [Illegible]	Docket No. 16889
Letter Objection filed by [Illegible]	Docket No. 16889
Letter Objection filed by [Illegible]	Docket No. 16889
Letter Objection filed by [Illegible]	Docket No. 16889
Letter Objection filed by [Illegible]	Docket No. 16889
Letter Objection filed by [Illegible]	Docket No. 16889
Letter Objection filed by [Illegible]	Docket No. 16889
Letter Objection filed by [Illegible]	Docket No. 16889
Letter Objection filed by [Illegible]	Docket No. 16889
Letter Objection filed by [Illegible]	Docket No. 16889
Letter Objection filed by [Illegible]	Docket No. 16889
Letter Objection filed by [Illegible]	Docket No. 16889
Letter Objection filed by [Illegible]	Docket No. 16889